

## BEE VERIFICATION AGENCY CHECKLIST

Organisatio	on										
SANAS Accr. No/s	6.										
Organisat					Assess	or					
Represen			<u> </u>	,							
			ne following:			<u> </u>	ſ	<u> </u>	<u></u>		
Document R		,	Implementation on Site Visit only	an	ocument	isit			Other		
Clause	Comn imple expect record	nent be mented cted to ding of	nts & Comments Com elow on adequacy of how r d. The order of assessment know & have the standard, the process. R47 FOR DETAIL AND FOR	equireme t need no , this wor	ents hav ot follow ksheet	e beer the or is desi	n address rder of the igned as (	ed, doo e check	cument dist. As	sessors a	are
	REQ	UIREM	IENTS			C NC				nere defir	
						NA		aph ni	ımber	e numbe from you	
10.2	LEGA	AL AN	D CONTRACTUAL MAT	TERS			uooum	ontatio	011)		
	<u>How a</u>	are the f	ollowing addressed/impleme								
	10.2.1	Lega	al responsibility								
	10.2.2	2 Own	ership								
	10.2.3		stment through another equir rument	ty and/or o	debt						
	10.2.4	Verif	ication Agreement								
	10.2.5	Doe	ponsibility for BEE verifications it maintain responsibility for sit maintain responsibility for isions (granting, withdrawing)	or all verific							
10.3	LIAB	ILITY	AND FINANCING								
	How a	are the f	ollowing addressed/impleme	ented?							
	10.3.1	verii (e.g	uation of significant risks aris fication activities and arrange . insurance or reserves) to co n operations?	ement ma							
	10.3.2	com	mercial and financial pressur	res							
	10.3.3	Does	s it have adequate liability co	over?							
11			ENT SYSTEM REQUIRE ION AGENCIES	MENTS	FOR						
	11.1	activ prog doci avai pers	es the Verification Agency de vity? Are policies, systems, p grammes, procedures and in: umented, communicated, un ilable to and implemented by sonnel?	orocesses, structions derstood l appropria	by and ate						
	11.2		overall objectives established ng management review?	d and revi	ewed						

## F 120-03

12	STRUC	CTURAL REQUIREMENTS	
		ructure and top management of BEE	
	Ve	erification Agencies	
	12.1.1	Does the Verification Agency have a documented structure that safeguards	
		impartiality of operations? Does the structure allow for participation of all significant parties? Does the structure show the duties,	
		responsibilities and authorities of management	
		and other verification personnel and any committees?	
	12.1.2	Has the Verification agency identified top management with responsibility for:	
		<ul> <li>(a) Development of policies relating to the operation of the agency</li> </ul>	
		<ul> <li>(b) Supervision of the implementation of the policies and procedures</li> </ul>	
		<ul> <li>(c) Supervision of the finances of the body</li> <li>(d) Performance of evaluations and</li> </ul>	
		verifications (e) Decisions on BEE verifications	
		(f) Delegation of authority to committees or individuals, as required, to undertake	
		defined activities on its behalf	
		<ul> <li>(g) Provision of adequate, qualified resources for its activities.</li> </ul>	
	12.1.3	Does the Verification Agency have documented formal rules for appointment, terms of reference	
		and operations of any committees and are these	
		rules authorized by the top management?	
	12.1.4	Has the verification agency analyzed and documented the relationship with related bodies for possibilities of conflict of interest etc?	
	12.2 Sa	afeguarding of impartiality	
		Are composition of committees represent	
		<ul><li>Balance of Interest</li><li>Impartial</li></ul>	
		Competent	
	12.2.2	Is all the necessary verification information	
	12.2.3	accessible? The activities of a related body should never be	
		marketed together and nothing should be stated anywhere that gives the impression that the two	
40	,	activities are linked in any way.	
13		N RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS	
	13.1.1	Is there a procedure for recruitment, section and	
		training of personnel and for monitoring	
		performance of verification personnel? Monitoring of performance should include periodic witnessing.	
	13.1.2	Does the BEE Verification Agency have sufficient number of verification analysts	
		including verification managers and experts?	
	13.1.3	Is the Verification Agency having processes to ensure that management, administrative	
		personnel, verification analysts, experts and	
		committees are competent in their different activities? Does it specify the minimum relevant	
		criteria for the competence of personnel?	

	13.2 Personnel involved in the verification process	
	How are the following addressed/implemented?	
	13.2.1 Competence of personnel managing the verification	
	<ul><li>13.2.2 Undue pressure of verification personnel</li><li>13.2.3 Job descriptions</li></ul>	
	13.2.4 Selection criteria, training required, monitoring of verification analysts and experts. Does the	
	initial competence evaluation of verification analysts include on-site observation while	
	verification is taking place? 13.2.6 Access to an up-to-date set of documented	
	procedure on relevant information on verification operations.	
	13.3 Use of individual external verification analysts and external verification experts	
	13.3.1 Does a formal documented agreement that address aspects relating to confidentiality and	
	independence from commercial and other interests exist between the verification agency	
	and experts and between verification agency and Verification analysts?	
	13.3.2 Compliance with the rules of the verification	
	agency (Confidentiality, independence from commercial and other interests)	
	13.3.3 Declare and disclose any prior association with any measured entity they may be assigned to evaluate.	
	13.4 Personnel records	
	Are the following records maintained for each person involved in the verification process?	
	Qualifications	
	<ul><li>Training</li><li>Experience</li></ul>	
	Competence	
	<ul><li>Job description</li><li>Contract/agreement</li></ul>	
	13.5 Outsourcing	
	-	
	13.5.1 (a) Does the verification Agency have a procedure on outsourcing?	
	13.5.1 (b) Does a formal documented agreement exist for outsourcing?	
	13.5.1 (c) Does such an agreement cover confidentiality and conflict of interest?	
	13.5.1 (d) Does the Verification Agency have a list of approved outsourced bodies and the	
	procedure for assessing, recording and monitoring their performance?	
	13.5.2 Does the verification agency:	
	Take full responsibility for outsourced work by evaluating the report and making a decision on certification?	
2007-09-14	©SANAS	Page 3 of 9

	maintain responsibility for all ions outsourced to another body?	
13.5.3 Ensure th	at the outsourced body	
(c) is comp	etent and complies with R47	
directly of measure	dividuals who are not involved, either or through any other employer with an ed entity to be verified in such a way artiality could be compromised	
13.6 MANAGEMENT	OF IMPARTIALITY	
statement	BEE Verification agency have a public t on understanding the importance of y when managing conflicts of interest?	
party prov consultan	EE Verification Agency and any related vide both BEE verification and BEE icy to the same entity? Has a minimum ars elapsed following the end of such icy?	
document interest a	erification agency analysed and ted the possibilities for conflicts of rising from the provision of its services, any conflicts arising from its ips?	
activities of the • conf • obje • impa	Verification agency ensure that related of other related bodies do not affect identiality activity artiality activities	
Provide a	ication Agency shall <u>NOT</u> ny other services, which could ise confidentiality objectivity or y.	
the linked verificatio expensive is used. S shall not s would be	nould be said by a consultancy body or l organisation that implies that n would be simpler, faster or less e if a specified BEE Verification Agency Similarly a BEE Verification Agency state or imply that BEE verification simpler, faster or less expensive if a consultancy is used.	
manageri consultan last two y	onnel including those acting in a al capacity that provided BEE icy for the measured entity within the ears not employed to take part in a n evaluation?	
declare a	BEE Verification require personnel to nd disclose any prior association with gured entity they may be assigned to	
14 INFORMATION	REQUIREMENTS	
	le information	
	Verification Agency provide the information on request?	
Page 4 of 9	©SANAS	2007-09-14

	14.1.1 14.1.2		
	14.1.3 14.1.4	BEE status granted to the entity means to confirm the validity of a given BEE	
		score	
15	DOCU	MENT CONTROL	
	15.1 (a)	Does it have procedures for controlling documentation?	
	15.1 (b)	Are the procedures reviewed for adequacy?	
	15.1 (c)	Are obsolete documents retained and suitably marked?	
	15.2 (a)	Does an index of all documents that exists with issue numbers, amendments etc.	
	15.2 (b)	Is the distribution of all such documents controlled?	
	15.3	Are amendments by hand allowed?	
	15.4	Are changes maintained and controlled in documents maintained in computerized	
	15.5 BE	systems? E VERIFICATION CERTIFICATE	
	45.5.4		
	15.5.1	Does the Verification Agency have policy and procedures specifying the conditions for granting and maintaining certification?	
	15.5.2	Does the BEE Verification Agency provide its clients with a BEE Verification Certificate?	
	15.5.3	<ul> <li>Does the verification certificate identify:</li> <li>(a) The name and identifiable physical location(s) of measured entity</li> <li>(b) Registration and vat number of measured entity</li> </ul>	
		<ul> <li>(c) The dates of granting of the BEE score and the period of validity</li> <li>(d) The expiry date of the Verification</li> </ul>	
		Certificate	
		<ul> <li>(e) A unique identification number</li> <li>(f) The standard and/or normative document, including the issue and/or revision used to evaluate the client</li> </ul>	
		(g) The name and/or mark/logo of the BEE Verification Agency	
		<ul> <li>(h) The scorecard against which the measured entity has been verified</li> </ul>	
		<ul> <li>(i) The Broad-Based BEE status level.</li> <li>(j) Verification Certificate must show SANAS logo</li> </ul>	
		(k) BEE procurement recognition level	
	15.5.4	Does the BEE Verification Certificate show the overall BEE status level as well as the BEE status level of each of the seven elements?	
	15.6	Reference to verification and use of marks	
	15.6.1	Does the verification agency have suitable means for dealing with incorrect references to the certification system or misleading use of licenses, certificates or marks found in advertisements, catalogues etc.	
	15.6.2	Does the Verification Agency avoid confusion by ensuring that each verification system has a	
2007-09-14		unique mark?	Page 5 of 9

	15.6.3 Does the Verification Agency have documented procedures for the use of its mark and for the procedures it must follow in misuse including false claims to and use of marks? Conditions for issue retention and withdrawal of the score card.	
16		
16	CONFIDENTIALITY         16.1       Does the BEE Verification Agency have a policy and arrangements to safeguard the confidentiality of the information obtained or created during performance of verification activities?	
	<ul> <li>16.2 Does it have adequate procedures to safeguard confidentiality at all levels (committees etc.)</li> </ul>	
	16.3 Do procedures require written consent prior to disclosure?	
17	INFORMATION EXCHANGE BETWEEN A BEE VERIFICATION AGENCY AND ITS CUSTOMERS	
	<ul> <li>17.1 Has the BEE Verification Agency provided the following information to clients: <ul> <li>(a) A detailed description of the verification process</li> <li>(b) Information about fees</li> <li>(c) Verification requirements</li> <li>(d) Documents describing the rights and duties of customers</li> <li>(e) A summary of the process for granting BEE verified status</li> <li>(f) Information on the procedure for handling complaints and appeals</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
	17.2 Is the above information current?	
18	PROCESS REQUIREMENTS	
10	18.1 Verification Methodology	
	<ul> <li>18.1.1 Does the BEE Verification Agency verifies, evaluate and validate measured entities based on BBBEE Codes of Good Practice? Is verification done on-site?</li> <li>18.1.2 Does the Verification Agency have procedures for re-evaluation in the event of significant changes affecting the score or changes in: <ul> <li>Ownership</li> <li>Structure</li> <li>Management of the entity or</li> <li>For other reasons where the BBBEE score may be called into question?</li> </ul> </li> <li>18.1.3 Is the computer software documented and validated? Are procedures established and implemented for protecting data?</li> <li>18.2 General requirements applicable to</li> </ul>	
	18.2 General requirements applicable to verification evaluation	
	18.2.1 Does Verification Agency have procedures for selecting and appointing verification team? Are names and background information on each team member made available to the client on time?	
	18.2.2 Does it have formal rules and/or contractual conditions with each team member?	
	18.2.3 Does it establish a verification plan before each visit and agree the plan with the client?	
Page 6 of 9	©SANAS	2007-09-1/

18.2.4	Was time needed for on-site visit established before the visit?	
18.2.6	Has the BEE Verification Agency developed a sampling programme for multi-site sampling?	
18.2.9	Are dates communicated and agreed in advance with the client?	
18.3	Verification	
19.3.1	Request for BEE verification	
How a	re the following addressed/implemented?	
	(a) Representative of the measured entity requesting verification	
	(b) Name and address of its physical location	
	(c) General information	
	(d) Information concerning use of consultancy	
18.3.2	1 Review of request	
	(a) Does the BEE Verification Agency have policies and procedures for the review of requests?	
	(b) Are requirements for verification provided to the measured entity?	
	(c) Are differences resolved before verification commences?	
	(d) Is capability and resources evaluated before verification commences?	
	(e) Are records maintained: reviews, significant changes, pertinent discussions with the client?	
	(f) Is agreement established between the Verification Agency and the measured entity before verification commences?	
18.3.3	Verification	
	(a) Was verification done according to plan?	
	(b) Did the verification take place on-site?	
	(c) Was sufficient evidence gathered?	
	(g) Was a detailed written Verification report promptly provided to the verified measured entity?	
18.3.4	BEE verification decision	
18.3.4	1 Are the groups or individuals taking the decision in verification different to those that participated in any manner in the verification process?	
18.4 S	pecial evaluation	
18 4.1	Policies and procedures on special evaluations, including selection of team members	

## F 120-03

19 & 20	APPEALS	AND COMPLAINTS	
	(a)	The verification agency shall have procedures for appeals and complaints	
	Does the veri	fication agency:	
	(b)	Keep all record of appeals and complaints and any remedial actions taken in their regard?	
	(c)	Take all necessary subsequent actions?	
	(d)	Document any actions taken and their effectiveness?	
	(e)	Are those investigating a complaint or an appeal completely independent from the complaint or the activities surrounding the complaint or appeal?	
21	RECORDS		
	(a)	Does it have a suitable record system that demonstrates compliance with these requirements?	
	(b)	Have records been identified, managed and disposed of in such a manner as to ensure the integrity of the process and confidentiality?	
	(c)	Are records kept for a period of at least two years?	
	(d)	Does the Verification Agency have a policy and procedures for the retaining of records for periods consistent with contractual, legal and other obligations?	
	(e)	Does it have a policy concerning the access of records?	
22, 23, and 24	Internal Au Manageme	dits, Corrective Action and nt Review	
	(a)	Does the Verification Agency have procedures on Internal Audits and Management Reviews?	
	(b)	Does it conduct internal audits annually covering all aspects?	
	(c)	Does the person responsible for internal audits ensure:	
		sons responsible for the area audited are rmed of the outcome?	
	(d)	Does the Verification Agency have procedures for handling non-conformities, root cause analysis and assuring effectiveness of any corrective action or preventive action?	
	(e)	Corrective action is taken timously.	

			F 120-03
	(f)	The results of the audit are documented.	
	(g)	Does executive management review the quality system annually to ensure its suitability and effectiveness?	
	(h)	Are records of management review maintained?	
Comments:			

Signed : Lead Assessor / Assessor	Date	